

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/754,299 | 01/05/2001 | Herman P. Taub | EHP0001-CON | 3398 |
| 27510 | 7590 01/12/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| | CK STOCKTON LLP | | KAZIMI, HANI M | |
| 607 14TH ST WASHINGTO | REET, N.W. ON, DC 20005 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 3624 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/12/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 09/754,299 | TAUB, HERMAN P. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Hani Kazimi | 3624 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | |
| | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the O (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time) | of Mailing or Transmission date of month(s)) which expi | d), which is after the expiration of the red on |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it do | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | iled Notice of Appeal (with appe | y filed amendment which places the eal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S | stitute a proper reply, or a bona ee explanation in box 7 below). | fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO | and publication fee, if applicable L-85). | e, within the statutory period of three months |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, very many, which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | was received on (with a y period for payment of the issu | Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated e fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala | nce of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if require | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | s not been received. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| . Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as r Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the three | -month period set in, the Notice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing | g or Transmission dated), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record | the assignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in | a representative capacity under 37 CFR |
| . The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter of the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and there are no allowed compared to the decision has expired and the decision has expired to the decision has | ference rendered on and laims. | because the period for seeking court review |
| . ☐ The reason(s) below: | | |
| | | Hani M. Kazimi Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3624 |